2007 Vol. 9, No. 25 5251-5253

A Stereospecific Synthesis of Chiral Cyclic Sulfinamides

Michael Harmata* and Pinguan Zheng

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri 65211 harmatam@missouri.edu

Received October 5, 2007

ABSTRACT

Treatment of racemic or enantiomerically pure 2,1-benzothiazines (cyclic sulfoximines) with lithium triethylborohydride results in clean loss of the S-aryl group with complete retention of configuration at sulfur to produce diastereomerically and/or enantiomerically pure cyclic sulfinamides in excellent yield.

Since the early 20th century the chemistry of sulfinamides has been of continuing interest.¹ Sulfinamides are valuable precursors for the synthesis of chiral amines and their derivatives,² aziridines,³ sulfoximines and related species,⁴ benzothiazines,⁵ olefins, and ketones.⁶ Sulfinamides also play pivotal roles in asymmetric synthesis as chiral auxiliary groups in the Diels—Alder reactions⁷ and also as organocatalysts in the enantioselective reduction of ketimines.⁸ More recently, a sulfinamide has been used as a P,S=O ligand in the asymmetric Pauson—Khand reaction.⁹ A number of

methods have been developed for the synthesis of sulfinamides from sulfinic acids, ¹⁰ sulfinates, ¹¹ *N*-sulfinylamines, ¹² thiosulfinates, ¹³ oxathiazolidine oxides, ¹⁴ sulfoximines, ¹⁵ benzothiazines, ¹⁶ and sulfonyl chlorides. ¹⁷

Though remarkable progress has been made in the acyclic sulfinamide chemistry, the chemistry of cyclic sulfinamides remains less developed. Several approaches have been reported to access cyclic sulfinamides, ¹⁸ of which only two can access chiral cyclic sulfinamides. ^{18d,e} Both lack generality. We herein report a novel stereospecific synthesis of enantiopure cyclic sulfinamides by the dephenylation of chiral 2,1-benzothiazines.

In the course of a projected total synthesis of *seco*-pseudopteroxazole, ¹⁹ synthetic efforts were made to convert

^{(1) (}a) Tillet, J. G. Sulfinamides. In *The Chemistry of Sulphinic Acids, Esters and their Derivatives*; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, England, 1990; pp 603–22. (b) Ellman, J. A. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2003**, 75, 39. (c) Zhou, P.; Chen, B.-C.; Davis, F. A. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 8003. (d) Senanayake, C. H.; Krishnamurthy, D.; Lu, Z.-H.; Han, Z.; Gallou, I. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2005**, *38*, 93.

^{(2) (}a) Cogan, D. A.; Ellman, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 268. (b) Davis, F. A.; Lee, S.; Zhang, H.; Fanelli, D. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 8704

⁽³⁾ Garcia Ruano, J.; Fernandez, I.; Prado Catalina, M. D.; Cruz, A. A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1996**, *7*, 3407.
(4) (a) Derkach, N. Y.; Pasmurtseva, N. A.; Markovskii, L. N.;

^{(4) (}a) Derkach, N. Y.; Pasmurtseva, N. A.; Markovskii, L. N.; Levchenko, E. S. *Zhur. Org. Khim.* **1973**, *9*, 1411; CAN 79:91470. (b) Johnson, C. R.; Bis, K. G.; Cantillo, J. H.; Meanwell, N. A.; Reinhard, M. F. D.; Zeller, J. R.; Vonk, G. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 1. (c) Johnson, C. R.; Jonsson, E. U.; Bacon, C. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 2055.

⁽⁵⁾ Harmata, M.; Kahraman, M.; Jones, D. E.; Pavri, N.; Weatherwax, S. E. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 9995.

⁽⁶⁾ Corey, E. J.; Durst, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5548.

⁽⁷⁾ Chapuis, C.; Kawecki, R.; Urbanczyk-Lipkowska, Z. Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 579.

⁽⁸⁾ Pei, D.; Wang, Z.; Wei, S.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5913.

⁽⁹⁾ Solà, J.; Revés, M.; Riera, A.; Verdaguer, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5020.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Furukawa, M.; Okawara, T. Synthesis 1976, 339-40.

⁽¹¹⁾ Davis, F. A.; Zhang, Y.; Andemichael, Y.; Fang, T.; Fanelli, D. L.; Zhang, H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1403.

^{(12) (}a) Bussas, R.; Muenster, H.; Kresze, G. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, 48, 2828. (b) Whitesell, J. K.; Carpenter, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 2839.

⁽¹³⁾ Cogan, D. A.; Liu, G.; Kim, K.; Backes, B. J.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8011.

^{(14) (}a) Han, Z.; Krishnamurthy, D.; Grover, P.; Fang, Q. K.; Senanayake, C. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 7880. (b) Han, Z.; Krishnamurthy, D.; Grover, P.; Fang, Q. K.; Su, X.; Wilkinson, H. S.; Lu, Z.-H.; Magiera, D.; Senanayake, C. H. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 6386.

^{(15) (}a) Schroeck, C. W.; Johnson, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5305. (b) Gaillard, S.; Papamicael, C.; Dupas, G.; Marsais, F.; Levacher, V. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8138.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Harmata, M.; Herron, B. F. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 8855.

^{(17) (}a) Harmata, M.; Zheng, P.; Huang, C.; Gomes, M. G.; Ying, W.; Ranyanil, K. O.; Balan, G.; Calkins, N. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 683. (b) Harmata, M.; Zheng, P.; Huang, C.; Gomes, M. G.; Ying, W.; Ranyanil, K. O.; Balan, G.; Calkins, N. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 4586.

Table 1. Dephenylation of Benzothiazines

entry	benzothiazine ^{a,h}	sulfinamide ^h	Yield (%) ^b	entry	benzothiazine ^{a,h}	sulfinamide ^h	yield (%) ^b
1	Ph.,H PTOI 6	Ph.,H	99°	6	Me M	Me M	90°
2	t-Bu +p-Tol 8 N/S O-	t-Bu H N+S O- n-Pr	96°	7	Me Me Me Me Me Me Me	Me Me Me	84 [/]
3	10 P-Tol	THE THE TENT OF	90^{c}	,	OMe Me Me	OMe H S O	Ŭ.
4	MeO J.H N.S. P.Tol	MeO Property Notes	70^c	8	Me H H H 20	Me ,Me ,H ,S ,O = 21	89 ^g
5	75° .Ph	15 H	59 ^d (73 brsm)	9	HO(H ₂ C) ₂ H 22 N ₂ S, O Ph	HO(H ₂ C) ₂ ,H	70^d

^a Compounds **6**, **8**, **10**, and **12** were racemic. ^b Yields are for chromatographically purified materials. ^c Refluxed for 24 h. ^d Refluxed for 12 h. ^e Refluxed for 3 h. ^f Refluxed for 8 h. ^g Held for 12 h at room temperature. ^h Diastereomeric ratios determined by ¹H NMR of crude reaction mixtures: **10**, 1.6:1; **11**, 1.7:1; **12**, 1.3:1; **13**, 1.3:1; **20**, 8.1:1, **21**, 8.2:1.

iodide **1** into **2**, since the latter is expected to be key intermediate in the total synthesis. After extensive exploration, conditions utilizing 3.0 equiv of lithium triethylborohydride were adopted to afford **2** in 80–91% yield (eq 1). Despite an excellent yield of desired product, we did notice that a small amount of a side product was isolated in low yield. An ¹H NMR spectrum showed the absence of the S-phenyl group. The distinct deuterium exchangeable peak (6.86 ppm), combined with IR data (3252, 1082, 878, 829 cm⁻¹) suggested the presence of a sulfinamide functional group in the molecule. The structure was thus assigned as **3**.

To confirm the intermediacy of **2** in this novel dephenylation reaction, ²⁰ **2** was treated with 3.0 equiv of lithium triethylborohydride. After being stirred for 12 h at 0 °C and

6 h at room temperature, sulfinamide 3 was isolated in 57% yield, accompanied by 12% of recovered starting material.

Excited by these results, we synthesized enantiomerically pure **4** from readily available methyl 2-bromocinnamate.²¹

With 4 in hand, we set out to optimize the reaction conditions for dephenylation. A mixture containing 4 and 5 (0.46:1.0) was obtained after 24 h at room temperature when 4 was treated with 3.0 equiv of lithium triethylborohydride. When the reaction was refluxed for 6 h, 5 was isolated in 71% yield as a single diastereomer, whose structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis. Compound 4 was found to slowly decompose when stirred with LAH. In addition, 4

5252 Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 25, **2007**

^{(18) (}a) Dittmer, D. C.; Hoey, M. D. Cyclic sulfinic acid derivatives (sultines and sulfinamides). In *The Chemistry of Sulphinic Acids, Esters and their Derivatives*; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, England, 1990; pp 239–273 and refences therein. (b) Wagner, B. J.; Doi, J. T.; Musker, W. K. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 4156. (c) Coulomb, J.; Certal, V.; Fensterbank, L.; Lacote, E.; Malacria, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 633. (d) Wills, M.; Butlin, R. J.; Linney, I. D.; Gibson, R. W. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* 1991, 3383. (e) Endeshaw, M. M.; Bayer, A.; Hansen, L. K.; Gautun, O. R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 5249. (f) Kawecki, R. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1999, 10, 4183.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Rodriguez, A. D.; Ramirez, C.; Rodriguez, I. I.; Gonzalez, E. *Org. Lett.* **1999**. *1*. 527.

⁽²⁰⁾ A similar dephenylation was made in diarylsulfone systems. See: Brown, H. C.; Kim, S. C.; Krishnamurthy, S. *Organometallics* **1983**, 2, 779.

⁽²¹⁾ Harmata, M.; Hong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5754.

remained intact after prolonged treatment with 3.0 equiv of diborane in THF solution.

To examine the generality of this dephenylation reaction, we applied our best conditions to a series of benzothiazines (Table 1). Compound **6** gave nearly quantitative yield of **7** as a single diastereomer (entry 1). A similar result was obtained for *t*-butyl substrate (entry 2). The reaction worked well for *n*-propyl substrates with essentially complete retention of stereochemistry (entries 3 and 4).

The cyclobutane ring in **14** remained untouched in the presence of strong nucleophilic reducing reagent lithium triethylborohydride. The desired sulfinamide **15** was obtained in 59% yield together with 17% recovered starting material (entry 5). Interestingly, benzothiazine **16** reacted surprisingly fast to afford **17** in excellent yield (reflux, 3 h, entry 6). To our surprise, the labile diene moiety and allyl group stayed intact during the reaction (entries 7, 8). Steric hindrance at C-3 position was tolerated in the dephenylation, and epimerization at C-3 was not observed, as shown in the conversion of **20** to **21** (entry 8). Alcohol **22** was also refluxed with 4.0 equiv of lithium triethylborohydride; the desired sulfinamide **23** was isolated in 70% yield.

In general, electron rich substituents (Me vs H) on the *S*-aryl ring tended to decrease the reactivity in the dephenylation reaction and result in the longer reaction times. In contrast, an increase of electron density on the *N*-phenyl ring seemed to accelerate the reaction.

To obtain some mechanistic perspective, the crude product from the dephenylation reaction of **4** was subjected to GC-MS. Ethylbenzene was obtained in 44% yield, accompanied by 27% of benzene.

On the basis of our experimental results and precedent,²⁰ we propose a mechanism for the dephenylation as shown in Scheme 1. The benzothiazine might be converted to **24** via hydride addition. Ethyl group migration and deborylation would afford **25** and **26**, the latter affording ethylbenzene and diethylborane. Alternatively, elimination of sulfinamide from **24** would afford **27** and **28**, which would afford benzene after proton transfer or protic workup.

That dephenylation occurred with retention of configuration at sulfur was unequivically demonstrated by X-ray

Scheme 1. Proposed Dephenylation Mechanism

analyses of 5 and 21. We thus assume that this trend holds for all substrates examined, as it would be very strange for inversion to occur without apparent cause. The fact that the sulfinamides are produced as single diastereomers also suggests a distinct, single stereochemcal event at the sulfur atom.

In summary, we have discovered the first approach toward chiral cyclic sulfinamides from readily available benzothiazines with complete stereocontrol. Preliminary mechanistic studies were carried out and a plausible mechanism was proposed. Further study of this reaction is underway in our laboratory and results will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (Grant 1R01-AI59000-01A1). We thank Dr. Charles Barnes (Missouri-Columbia) for X-ray data. We also thank Mr. Weijiang Ying (Missouri-Columbia), Dr. Yugang Chen (Missouri-Columbia), and Dr. Xuechuan Hong (Missouri-Columbia) for the gifts of **14**, **16**, and **18**.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data and copies of proton and carbon spectra for all new compounds; X-ray data for 5, 21. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL7024394

Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 25, **2007**